## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

## ALPHONSO GRAHAM BRISCOE,

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 18-3300-SAC

SHANNON MEYER,

Respondent.

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the court on petitioner's motion to appoint counsel.

There is no constitutional right to the appointment of counsel in a civil matter. Carper v. Deland, 54 F.3d 613, 616 (10th Cir. 1995); Durre v. Dempsey, 869 F.2d 543, 547 (10th Cir. 1989). Rather, the decision whether to appoint counsel in a civil action lies in the discretion of the district court. Williams v. Meese, 926 F.2d 994, 996 (10th Cir. 1991). The party seeking the appointment of counsel has the burden to convince the court that the claims presented have sufficient merit to warrant the appointment of counsel. Steffey v. Orman, 461 F.3d 1218, 1223 (10th Cir. 2016) (citing Hill v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., 393 F.3d 1111, 1115 (10th Cir. 2004)). It is not enough "that having counsel appointed would have assisted [the movant] in presenting his strongest possible case, [as] the same could be said in any case." Steffey, 461 F.3d at 1223 (citing Rucks v. Boergermann, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995)). The Court should consider "the merits of the prisoner's claims, the nature and complexity of the factual and legal issues, and the prisoner's ability to investigate the facts and present his claims." Rucks, 57 F.3d at 979.

The court has considered the record and concludes the appointment of counsel is not warranted in this action. Although petitioner asserts that he has little access to legal resources, the court will examine the record and will review the arguments developed in the state courts. Likewise, petitioner has not shown that the issues presented are unusually complicated or novel.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED petitioner's motion to appoint counsel (Doc. 24) is denied.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 19th day of August, 2021, at Topeka, Kansas.

S/ Sam A. Crow

SAM A. CROW U.S. Senior District Judge